

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,130	10/02/2003	Karl-Hans Baemklau	32860-000638/US	6019
30596 75	90 03/30/2005	EXAMINER		INER
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
P.O.BOX 8910				
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, · · · ·			2832	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		✓ *		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/676,130	BAERNKLAU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lincoln Donovan	2832		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u>	nuary 2005.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>3 and 10</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the property of the example. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413\		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-02-03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/676,130

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-7, 11-14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meriwether [US 5,844,186] in view of Yamagata et al. [US 6,559,745].

Meriwether discloses a motor contactor [10] with a mechanical lockout [figure 1] comprising:

- an electromagnetic drive apparatus [12];
- a moving contact [column 3, lines 55-60] operable by the drive apparatus and switchable between a closed position adapted to connect terminals and a disconnected position adapted to disconnect the terminals;
- an actuating apparatus [55] including a linear actuated, along a common axis with the contact, actuating element [22] adapted to interact with the moving contact element movable between an operating position and a safe position wherein, in the operating position, the moving contact is switchable by the electromagnetic drive between the open and closed positions and wherein by movement of the actuating element to the safe position, the moving contact element is adapted to be blocked from moving the contact to the closed position.

Meriwether disclose everything claimed except the use of bridging type contacts for the movable contact.

Yamagata et al. discloses a circuit breaker [figure 1a] having a manual operating mechanism [5] cooperating with a bridge type contact [2].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use bridge type contacts with the switching device of Meriwether, as suggested by Yamagata et al., for the purpose of reducing contact welding.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, Meriwether disclose everything claimed except the use of a rotory switch actuate the actuator.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a rotary switch to actuate the actuator in order to decrease the force necessary to provide actuation.

Regarding claims 9 and 16, Meriwether discloses the use of a padlock to maintain the various locked positions [figure 3, column 4, line 61-column 5, line13].

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01-05-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that plate [32] is fixed to the contactor and not movable as an actuating element. Examiner had intended the actuating element to be [22].

Art Unit: 2832

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Enad Elvin can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd